



$$\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

Let  $m$  be even, then the # of vertices  $n = m+1$  is odd.

Let the spine vertices be labeled  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$  and the neighbors off the spine of  $v_k$  be labeled  $\{u_{kj}\}_{j=1}^{n_k}$ . The labels are  $\{0, \dots, m\}$ .

Algorithm: We simply alternate between choosing the

A: SMALLEST AVAILABLE LABEL and the

B: LARGEST " "

But this depends on the situation. We proceed inductively.

Suppose we have labeled up to  $v_k$  so far.

If  $v_k$  labeled using A, then  $\{u_{kj}\}$  and  $v_{k+1}$  must receive labels using B.

Claim: This produces a graceful labeling.

At  $k=0$ , the first vertex is labeled 0 (wlog). Then the next one is  $m$ . At stage  $k$ , we have just labeled vertex  $a_k$  using A, and the difference  $m-k$  has appeared.

We need to consider the labels of  $a_k$  and  $a_{k+1}$ . Suppose  $a_k$  is on the spine and labeled with A. Then  $\exists$  some  $a_j$  on the spine, st  $a_k$  is its neighbor and by construction  $a_j$  must have label in B, and the difference  $f(a_j) - f(a_k) = m-k$  has just appeared. Then  $a_{k+1}$  must have label in B, and  $f(a_{k+1}) = f(a_j) - 1$ .

Now the difference  $f(a_{k+1}) - f(a_k) = f(a_j) - f(a_k) - 1 = m-k-1$  appears.

The case when  $a_k$  is not on the spine can be argued similarly. We are done by induction.

$\alpha = \min$  over all labels produced using B.